



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/893,566	06/29/2001	Joon-Ha Park	8733.454.00 5094 EXAMINER	
30827 7	7590 02/18/2004			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T	
1900 K STREI WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2674	
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/893,566	PARK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer T Nguyen	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	eid(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	<u>ne 2001</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-5 and 8 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/893,566

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is responsive to amendment filed on 12/14/2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,139).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, referring to Figs. 1 and 2, Tanaka teaches a method of driving a liquid crystal display device, wherein the liquid crystal display device includes a gate line (3-1, 3-2...); a data line (4-1, 4-2...) crossing the gate line; a dummy gate line (3-0) adjacent the gate line; a thin film transistor (5) connected to the gate and data lines; a first capacitor (cgd2) receiving signals from the thin film transistor (5); and a storage capacitor (13) connected to the first capacitor (cgd2), the method comprising applying a gate signal (G-1) to the gate lines (3-1) and applying a logic high dummy gate signal (positive voltage level, G-0, Fig. 2) to the dummy gate line (3-0), wherein the dummy gate signal has a substantially same waveform as the gate signal applied to the gate line (see abstract, from col. 4, line 59 to col. 5, line 20 and from col. 6, line 59 to col. 7, line 47).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Tanaka further teaches the gate signal (G-1, G-2...) is a pulse signal having a high period of one horizontal line period (1H) Figs. 2 and 7).

Regarding claim 4, Tanaka further teaches the high period of the dummy gate signal (G-

Application/Control Number: 09/893,566

Art Unit: 2674

0) precedes the high period of the gate signal by one horizontal line period (Fig. 2, col. 7, lines 21-47).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,139) in view of Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,204,895).

Regarding claim 5, referring to Figs. 1 and 2, Tanaka teaches the liquid crystal display device includes a gate line (3-1); a data line (4-1) crossing the gate line; a dummy gate line (3-0) adjacent the gate line; a thin film transistor (5) connected to the gate and data lines; a first capacitor (cgd2) receiving signals from the thin film transistor; and a storage capacitor (13) connected to the first capacitor, the driving circuit comprising: a gate driver (K) producing a gate signal, the gate signal being applied to the gate line; a data driver (L) producing a data signal, the data signal being applied to the data line; and a dummy gate signal (G-0) of a substantially same waveform as the gate signal, the dummy gate signal being applied to the dummy gate line (3-1) (see abstract, from col. 4, line 59 to col. 5, line 20 and from col. 6, line 59 to col. 7, line 47).

Tanaka differs from claim 5 in that he does not specifically teach a dummy gate driver.

However, referring to Figs. 1, 9, 12, and 13, Nakamura teaches a dummy gate driver (DD)

producing a dummy gate signal (col. 5, lines 8-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/893,566

Art Unit: 2674

of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the dummy gate driver as taught by Nakamura in the system of Tanaka in order to provide a driver thereof for preventing non-uniform brightness in the display device independently and efficiently.

- 6. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A Hjerpe can be reach at 703-305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

Application/Control Number: 09/893,566

Art Unit: 2674

rt Unit: 26/4

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone

number is 703-306-0377.

Jennifer T. Nguyen 01/30/2003

REGINATIANG PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5